

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALCATEL-LUCENT ENTERPRISE and
GENESYS TELECOMMUNICATIONS
LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 07-090-SLR

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA

TO: COUNSEL OF RECORD

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 2, 2008, plaintiff Microsoft Corporation served the attached subpoena on **Dugdale Communications, Inc.**, at 7901 E. 88th Street, Indianapolis, IN 46256.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that plaintiff, by its counsel, will take the deposition of **Dugdale Communications, Inc.** on **January 30, 2008, at 9:00 a.m.**, at the Hyatt Regency Indianapolis, One South Capitol Ave., Indianapolis, IN 46204. The deposition will continue from day to day, if necessary, until completed, and will be taken before a Certified Shorthand Reporter or other officer authorized to administer oaths and take depositions. All deposition testimony will be recorded by stenographic, audio, and/or audiovisual means. You are invited to attend and examine the witness.

Dated: January 4, 2008

Respectfully submitted,
MICROSOFT CORPORATION

By its attorneys,

/s/ Thomas L. Halkowski
Thomas L. Halkowski (#4099)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
919 N. Market Street, Suite 1100
P.O. Box 1114
Wilmington, DE 19899-1114
Tel: (302) 652-5070
Fax: (302) 652-0607
E-Mail: halkowski@fr.com

John E. Gartman
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
12390 El Camino Real
San Diego, CA 92130
Tel: (858) 678-5070
Fax: (858) 678-5099
E-Mail: gartman@fr.com

Ruffin B. Cordell
Linda Liu Kordziel
Indranil Mukerji
Kori Anne Bagrowski
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1425 K Street NW, Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 783-5070
Fax: (202) 783-2331
E-Mail: cordell@fr.com
E-Mail: kordziel@fr.com
E-Mail: mukerji@fr.com
E-Mail: bagrowski@fr.com

80053675.doc

Issued by the
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern	DISTRICT OF	Indiana
MICROSOFT CORP., Plaintiff,		
v.		
ALCATEL-LUCENT ENTERPRISES and GENESYS TELECOMMUNICATIONS LABORATORIES, INC., Defendants.		

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: _____¹

Underlying case: 07-90-SLR (D. Del.)

TO: Dugdale Communications, Inc., 7901 E 88th Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46256-1235

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME

☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case. This deposition may be taken via court reporter and videographer

PLACE OF DEPOSITION Hyatt Regency Indianapolis, One South Capitol Ave., Indianapolis, IN 46204 See Schedule A for list of deposition topics.	DATE AND TIME January 30, 2008 9:00 AM
--	--


☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date and time specified below (list documents or objects):
See Schedule A

PLACE Stewart-Richardson & Associates, One Indiana Square, Suite 2425, Indianapolis, IN 46204	DATE AND TIME January 14, 2008 9:00 AM
--	--

☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
----------	---------------

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)  Attorney for Microsoft Corp.	DATE January 2, 2008
---	-----------------------------

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER
 Indranil Mukerji, Fish & Richardson P.C., 1425 K St. NW,
 Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20005, Tel: (202) 783-5070

PROOF OF SERVICE

DATE	PLACE
SERVED	
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF SERVICE
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)	TITLE

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on _____
DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2007**(c) PROTECTING A PERSON SUBJECT TO A SUBPOENA.**

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a

subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO A SUBPOENA.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

MICROSOFT CORP.,

Plaintiff/Petitioner

vs.

ALCATEL-LUCENT ENTERPRISES AND
GENESYS TELECOMMUNICATIONS
LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendant/Respondent

Hearing Date: 01/30/2008

CAUSE NO:
07-90-SLR (D. DEL.)AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF:
LETTER; SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE; SCHEDULE

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says: That s(he) is now and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen, not an officer of a plaintiff corporation, not a party to nor interested in the above entitled action, has the authority to serve pleadings in the State named below, and is competent to be a witness therein.


On the **2nd day of January, 2008, at 5:00 PM**, at the address of **Dugdale, 7901 E 88TH Street, INDIANAPOLIS, Marion County, IN 46256**; this affiant served the above described documents upon **DUGDALE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**, by then and there personally delivering 1 true and correct copy(ies) thereof, by then presenting to and leaving the same with **Angela Withan, REGISTERED AGENT, A white female approx. 30-35 years of age 5'4"-5'6" in height weighing 120-140 lbs with blonde hair and glasses.**

No Information was provided or discovered that indicates that the subjects served are members of the U.S. military.


DATED this 3rd day of January, 2008.


David Olmstead, Reg. # Process Server, Marion, IN

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 3rd day of January, 2008


NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Indiana
Residing at: Marion County
My Commission Expires: November 29, 2015FOR: Fish & Richardson -DC
(PFI)ORIGINAL PROOF OF
SERVICE

Tracking #: 4964813 SEA



SCHEDULE A

**Subpoena in a Civil Case to
Dugdale Communications, Inc.**

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions shall apply throughout these requests, regardless of whether upper or lower case letters are used:

- A. “Dugdale,” “You,” and “Your” refers to (1) Dugdale Communications, Inc. and any parent, subsidiaries and divisions, (2) any successors and predecessors thereto, and (3) any and all persons or entities acting or purporting to act on Dugdale Communications, Inc.’s behalf, including but not limited to all past and present employees, officers, directors, agents, consultants, partners, affiliates, associates, attorneys or representatives thereof.
- B. "Defendants" refers to (1) defendant Alcatel Lucent Enterprises (previously known as Alcatel Business Systems) and/or Genesys Telecommunications Laboratories, Inc., s, (2) any successors and predecessors thereto, and (3) any and all persons or entities acting or purporting to act on Alcatel Lucent Enterprises (previously known as Alcatel Business Systems) and/or Genesys Telecommunications Laboratories, Inc.’s behalf, including but not limited to all past and present employees, officers, directors, agents, consultants, partners, affiliates, associates, attorneys or representatives thereof.
- C. “Microsoft” or “Plaintiff” refer to (1) Microsoft Corporation and any parent, subsidiaries and divisions, (2) any successors and predecessors thereto, and (3) any and all persons or entities acting or purporting to act on Microsoft’s behalf, including but not limited to all past and present employees, officers, directors, agents, consultants, partners, affiliates, associates, attorneys or representatives thereof.

D. “Relating to,” “related to,” or “relate to” means and includes concerning, reflecting, alluding to, mentioning, regarding, discussing, bearing upon, commenting on, constituting, pertaining to, demonstrating, describing, depicting, referring to, summarizing, containing, embodying, showing, comprising, evidencing, refuting, contradicting, and/or supporting.

E. “Referring to,” “refers to,” or “refer to” means and includes relating to, reflecting, alluding to, mentioning, regarding, discussing, bearing upon, commenting on, constituting, pertaining to, demonstrating, describing, depicting, concerning, summarizing, containing, embodying, showing, comprising, evidencing, refuting, contradicting and/or supporting.

F. “Concern” or “concerning” means relating to, embodying, containing, comprising, constituting, indicating, referring to, identifying, describing, discussing, involving, supporting, reflecting, evidencing, or otherwise in any way pertaining directly or indirectly to.

G. “Document” is defined broadly to be given the full scope of that term contemplated in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34, and includes all tangible things, all originals (or, if originals are not available, identical copies thereof), all non-identical copies of a document, all drafts of final documents, all other written, printed, or recorded matter of any kind, and all other data compilations from which information can be obtained and translated if necessary, that are or have been in your actual or constructive possession, custody or control, regardless of the medium on which they are produced, reproduced, or stored (including without limitation computer programs and files containing any requested information), and any recording or writing, as these terms are defined in Rule 1001, Federal Rules of Evidence. Any document bearing marks, including without limitation, initials, stamped initials, comments, or notations not a part of the original text or photographic reproduction thereof, is a separate document.

H. “Person” shall mean any natural person or any business, proprietorship, firm, partnership, corporation, association, organization, or other legal entity. The acts of a Person shall include the acts of directors, officers, owners, members, employees, agents, attorneys or other representatives acting on the Person’s behalf.

I. “Communication” means any contact, oral or written, whereby information of any nature is transmitted or transferred, including without limitation, a person(s) seeing or hearing any information by any means and any document memorializing or referring to the contact.

J. “Entity” means, including without limitation, corporation, company, firm, partnership, joint venture, association, governmental body or agency, or persons other than a natural person.

K. “Accused Product” or “Accused Products” means and includes all of (1) Alcatel-Lucent’s unified communication systems, products used with such systems, and components thereof, including but not limited to all models and versions of the OmniPCX Enterprise, OmniPCX Office, and OmniTouch Unified Communication software and/or application suite (including but not limited to My Assistant, My Phone, My Teamwork, My Messaging), My Instant Communicator software and/or application suite, other unified messaging systems, and all components thereof; and/or (2) Genesys’s call center products, including hardware and software applications, and components thereof.

L. “Product” means a machine, manufacture, apparatus, device, instrument, mechanism, appliance, assemblage of components/parts (either individually or collectively), process or method which are designed to function together electrically, mechanically, or otherwise, to achieve a particular function our purpose, including those offered for sale, sold, or under development.

M. “Any” and “all” shall be construed to mean both any and all.

N. The words “and” and “or” shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively, whichever makes the request most inclusive.

O. The singular form of a word should be interpreted in the plural as well, and vice versa.

P. “Present Lawsuit” means the action styled Microsoft Corp. v. Alcatel-Lucent Enterprise and Genesys Telecommunications Laboratories, Inc., Civil Action No. 07-90-SLR, currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware.

INSTRUCTIONS

A. This subpoena calls for information (including any information contained in or on any document or thing) that is known or available to you, or in your possession, custody, or control, including all information known or available to your attorneys, agents, representatives, or any other person acting or purporting to act on your behalf or under the direction or control of you or your attorneys, agents, representatives or investigators.

B. You are to provide full and complete responses to the following requests, after conducting a diligent and thorough investigation into all information within your possession, custody, or control.

C. You are to quote each request in full immediately preceding the statement of any answer, response, or objection thereto.

D. For every objection to a request on the grounds of privilege, answer the request with such non-privileged information as is responsive, including the date and the sender and recipients of the document, and then provide the basis for Your claim of privilege and all facts and circumstances related thereto.

E. If no documents are responsive to a particular request, You are to state that no responsive documents exist.

F. If a document is in a language other than English, you should provide that document. If a document is in a language other than English, and an English translation exists, You should provide both documents.

G. All documents requested are to be produced in the same file or other organizational environment in which they are maintained. For example, a document that is part of a file, docket, or other grouping, should be physically produced together with all other documents from said file, docket, or grouping, in the same order and manner of arrangement as the original.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

1. All documents referring or relating to any offer for sale to Dugdale of any product by or from any of the Defendants, including but not limited to marketing materials, product representations, technical specifications, proposals and responses thereto, correspondence, presentations, meeting notes, invoices, product descriptions, and all contracts or other agreements and amendments thereto.

2. All documents referring or relating to any agreement by Dugdale to purchase any product from any of the Defendants, including but not limited to marketing materials, product representations, technical specifications, requests for proposals and responses thereto, correspondence, presentations, meeting notes, invoices, product descriptions, and all contracts or other agreements and amendments thereto.

3. All documents referring or relating to any product that has been purchased by Dugdale from any of the Defendants, whether any such product has been delivered, installed or paid for, and including but not limited to marketing materials, product representations, technical specifications, requests for proposals and responses thereto, correspondence, presentations,

meeting notes, invoices, product descriptions, and all contracts or other agreements and amendments thereto.

4. All documents referring or relating to whether each product offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants, that Dugdale agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants are, were, or are planned to be used by Dugdale, such as, for example, by Dugdale's Chicago office, or whether those products were or are planned to be sold to third-parties.

5. All documents referring or relating to the capabilities, features, and/or functions of the products that have been offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants or that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants, including those generally related to telephony networks, data networks, and unified messaging, and those specifically relating to the Accused Products.

6. All documents referring to or relating to services related to or associated with the products offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants or that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants, including assessment, design, customization, testing, and implementation.

7. All documents referring to or relating to completed, partial and/or scheduled delivery, installation, and/or maintenance of products that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants.

8. All documents relating to any communication between You and Alcatel, including those concerning any contracts, agreements or products offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants or that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants and/or the Present Lawsuit.

9. All documents identifying entities and/or personnel of Dugdale, Alcatel and/or third parties associated with any offer for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants, any agreement by Dugdale to purchase products from any of the Defendants, and/or any product purchased by Dugdale from any of the Defendants, including persons involved in negotiations, as well as the requirements, design, customization, delivery, installation, and/or maintenance of the products or services.

10. All documents referring or relating to any sale or offer for sale of any Accused Product by Dugdale to a third party, including but not limited to marketing materials, product representations, technical specifications, proposals and responses thereto, correspondence, presentations, meeting notes, invoices, bills of sale, product descriptions, and all contracts or other agreements and amendments thereto.

DEPOSITION TOPICS

1. All facts and circumstances relating to any offer for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants, any agreement by Dugdale to purchase products from any of the Defendants, and/or any product purchased by Dugdale from any of the Defendants, including but not limited to the negotiations, requests for proposals and responses thereto, correspondence, presentations, meeting notes, invoices, product descriptions, and all contracts or other agreements and amendments thereto.
2. All facts and circumstances relating to the capabilities, features, and functions of the products that have been offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants or that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants, including those generally related to telephony networks, data networks, and unified messaging, and those specifically relating to the Accused Products.
3. All facts and circumstances relating to services related to or associated with the products offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants or that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants, including assessment, design, customization, testing, and implementation.
4. All facts and circumstances relating to whether each product offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants, that Dugdale agreed to purchase from any of the Defendants, or that Dugdale has purchased from any of the Defendants are, were, or are planned to be used by Dugdale, such as, for example, by Dugdale's Chicago office, or whether those products were or are planned to be sold to third-parties.
5. All facts and circumstances relating to completed, partial and/or scheduled delivery, installation, and maintenance of the products or services offered for sale to Dugdale by any of

the Defendants or that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants.

6. All facts and circumstances relating to any communication between You and any of the Defendants, including those concerning products offered for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants or that Dugdale has agreed to purchase or has purchased from any of the Defendants and/or the Present Lawsuit.

7. All facts and circumstances related to entities and personnel of Dugdale, any of the Defendants, and/or third parties associated with any offer for sale to Dugdale by any of the Defendants, any agreement by Dugdale to purchase products from any of the Defendants, and/or any product purchased by Dugdale from any of the Defendants, including persons involved in negotiations, as well as the requirements, design, customization, delivery, installation, and/or maintenance of the products or services.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 4, 2008, I electronically filed with the Clerk of Court the attached **NOTICE OF SUBPOENA**, using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following individuals:

Jack B. Blumenfeld
Maryellen Noreika
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNEL LLP
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
mnoreika@mnat.com

I also certify that copies were caused to be served on January 4, 2008 upon the following individuals via electronic mail:

Jack B. Blumenfeld
Maryellen Noreika
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNEL LLP
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
mnoreika@mnat.com

Steven C. Cherny
Karen Y. Tu
Clement J. Naples
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000
New York, NY 10022
steven.cherny@lw.com
karen.tu@lw.com
clement.naples@lw.com

David A. Nelson
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Sears Tower, Suite 5800
Chicago, IL 60606
david.nelson@lw.com

/s/ Thomas L. Halkowski
Thomas L. Halkowski
halkowski@fr.com